

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS (ISSN 2582 - 6433)

VOLUME 2 ISSUE 6
(April 2022)

Email –

editor@ijlra.com

Website – www.ijlra.com



IJLRA

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL
FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS

DISCLAIMER

No part of this publication may be reproduced or copied in any form by any means without prior written permission of Managing Editor of IJLRA. The views expressed in this publication are purely personal opinions of the authors and do not reflect the views of the Editorial Team of IJLRA.

Though every effort has been made to ensure that the information in Volume 2 Issue 5 is accurate and appropriately cited/referenced, neither the Editorial Board nor IJLRA shall be held liable or responsible in any manner whatsoever for any consequences for any action taken by anyone on the basis of information in the Journal.

Copyright © International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis


IJLRA
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL
FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS

EDITORIAL TEAM

EDITORS

Ms. Ezhiloviya S.P.

Nalsar Passout

Ms. Priya Singh

West Bengal National University of Juridical Science

Mr. Ritesh Kumar

Nalsar Passout

Mrs. Pooja Kothari

Practicing Advocate

Dr. Shweta Dhand

Assistant Professor

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL
FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS

ABOUT US

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS
ISSN

2582-6433 is an Online Journal is Quarterly, Peer Review, Academic Journal, Published online, that seeks to provide an interactive platform for the publication of Short Articles, Long Articles, Book Review, Case Comments, Research Papers, Essay in the field of Law & Multidisciplinary issue. Our aim is to upgrade the level of interaction and discourse about contemporary issues of law. We are eager to become a highly cited academic publication, through quality contributions from students, academics, professionals from the industry, the bar and the bench. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS ISSN

2582-6433 welcomes contributions from all legal branches, as long as the work is original, unpublished and is in consonance with the submission guidelines.

A CRITICAL ANALYSIS ON THE PERFORMERS RIGHTS UNDER THE COPYRIGHT ACT

By: Nivetha SG

ABSTRACT

The Indian Copyright thoroughly provides for the rights of the author in their work. The performers ought to even be given the right so they will exploit the work either through communication to the general public or by broadcasting an equivalent. antecedently the Indian statute doesn't give enough rights to the copyright holder. However, through associate change made within the copyright act and thereby granting rights to the entertainer in their performances has somewhat fulfilled the aim. The entertainer underneath section 38A and section 38B has been granted exclusive rights and ethical rights, through the change of 2012. Even the scope of definition of the entertainer underneath section two (qq) was conjointly widened through the amendment. the anomaly remains within the side of performers rights. Section 38A (1) (b) of the aforementioned act provides for less than initial broadcast rights and communication to the general public. The restriction within the type of 'except wherever it's been already broadcasted' somewhat looks unjustifiable. This side wants a lot of clarity. During this paper, there'll be a comparative study of the similar provision among Bharat, US

¹ nivetha2981999@gmail.com, 9080652937.

² sanittamariam@gmail.com, 8296446268.

and UK. The paper also will discuss international agreements with relevant performer's rights. Finally, the paper can carve out what might be the planned change underneath the Indian Copyright regime to strengthen the rights of performers in India.

KEYWORDS: Copyright, performance, performers, creative work , reward.

1.INTRODUCTION

In each society the performers establish a nexus between the literary, musical work, piece of writing and also the public. (Waelde, 2013)the very fact that the performers pay enough time, talent and labour in any act should be rewarded. (Phalkey, 1999)The key definition of performer provided underneath the jurisprudence can embrace actors, singers, musicians, dancers and alternative people who sing, act, deliver, play in, declaim, or otherwise perform the creative work (Brown et al., 2019).

This definition covers all forms of parts of performers of labour publicly domain underneath its sweep.(Panda and Patel, 2012) however on the contrary note it excludes all sorts of one that don't perform any 'work' like acrobats, sports personalities, selection creative, and the extras on the stage.(Bhullar, 2019) This light-emitting diode USA to border associate degree opinion that the umbrella cowl of the definition of the entertainer wants wider interpretation within the returning days. (John, 2019)Thereby we will be able to say that the performers' rights are terribly weak. This could be derived from the history itself. (Kumar, no date)within the times of yore Strolling players or Actors were essentially thought to be vagrants throughout the time once the copyright law was in its initial stage of development. Smith in his own work i.e. (Cullet, 2019)The Wealth of countries has given the buffoons, players, musicians, opera dancers, opera singers because the key example of unproductivelabor.(Charsley and Kadekar, 2006) One epoch have tried to get rid of this type of social stigma and from all-time low of the social parameter, star performers had gone to the highest and infect some has become a sort of idol within the trendy society. (Sakthivel, Nirmalkumar and Benjamin, 2019)The other reasons are often the technical and historical ones. Smith says that the work of all of them perishes within the instant of its production. This connotation may well be correct in his era.(Jain, no date) The performance has to be mounted. (Cullet, Koonan and Bhullar, 2019)Then once the performances are often mounted and also the

resultant fixation are often performed publicly and might be reproduced thereby involving the key rights within the copyright regime. (Morcom, 2013) These rights are essentially called the correct copy and also the right of the performance. If such an issue is there then the on top of explicit alternative reason can lose its integrity. (Morcom, 2013) therefore the copyright law gains its quality by the approach of what all rights are granted to the entertainer of the work. The entertainer incorporates a key role within the work and he should be unconditional with bound rights while not damaging to the interest of the author or the owner of the work. (Bano, 2011) There are often varied reasons for the protection of the rights of performers' wish to economic profit etc.

2.AIM:

To study and analyse about the performers right under the copyright act.

3.HYPOTHESIS

Ha- The performer's right is an exclusive right in the Indian Copyright Act.

H0- The performer's right is not an exclusive right in the Indian Copyright Act.

4.METHODOLOGY

This paper used both primary and secondary information which are collected from the general public through the simple random sampling method. The research paper is done in both doctrinal and non-doctrinal method. The questions related to performers rights under the copyright act were taken survey. The survey was limited to 1500 samples because of the time constraint. The primary sources of information are taken from the books and statutes and secondary sources of information are taken from the articles of the journals, working papers, thesis and presentation papers.

5.MEASURES

The participants that were targeted for this study were 100 respondents (36 males and 44 females). The questionnaire was sent through online for a few respondents and the physical approach was like an interrogation for the rest. This was purely due to the restrictions in the pandemic. The calculation of the survey was made by a chi-square test which shows the

approximate percentage value of the survey. This reflected the level of knowledge about IP rights, especially copyrights by university students.

6.DISCUSSION

Jerome H. Remick & CO. V. General Electric Co

A proprietary song had been viewed by Associate in Nursing orchestra at a building, and picked up by the litigant broadcaster. The court ruled that the broadcasting of the eating house music wasn't a separate performance, however that the publication of Associate in Nursing unauthorised public performance created the broadcaster a conducive infringer.

John Church Co. V. Howard Hotel Co

The proceedings concerned a piece of music that had been performed within the dining area of an edifice of happiness to the litigators. The case turned upon the meaning of the words "for profit" and also the court ruled that the performances in question weren't for profit in the maximum amount as no fee or different direct fee had been charged to the patrons hearing the performances. It absolutely was argued for the complainant that the performance of music within the edifice eating place was a way of attracting paying customers and thence was for profit although no direct fee was charged for the music, however this rivalry was overruled by the court. Other vital drawback came up in reference to the growing broadcasting business, particularly whether or not the broadcasting of a public performance constitutes a replacement public performance and similarly, whether or not the enjoying of radio publicly places, whether or not by means that of ordinary radio receivers or additional elaborate receiving installations like those often found in massive hotels, constitutes a replacement public performance except for the printed. The cases involving instances of multiple performances don't deal directly with the question of whether or not a performance is public or with the for profit limitation.

(Waelde, 2013) Copyright could be a part of the belongings which provides associate degree exclusive right to the initial creator of a piece. The copyright law protects the intellectual creations within the work that's original. Earlier the construct of Copyright was restricted to books, painting, and films, however currently the scope is widened to even embody laptop software package and compilation of information. Before the change of the Copyright Act in 1994, no protection was given to actors, musicians, jugglers, dancers etc.

(Ahnhyojil and AHNHYOJIL, 2018)The Copyright Act, 1957 was silent on the performers' rights, however, once the change in 1994, it recognized the rights of the performing artist underneath Section thirty eight of the Act and therefore the construct of 'Performers Rights' was introduced. Section thirty eight of the Act, as Performer's Rights, provides prerogative or authority to the performing artist for doing any act in respect of the performance while not prejudice to the rights given on its authors.

(Bently and Sherman, 2014)This provision permits the performers for payment of royalties that square measure subjected to committed use. The 2012 change to the Act gave recognition to the rights of the performers. It absolutely was solely recently once the technological changes vulnerable the bread and butter of performers that the law intervened to safeguard performers.

(Nanayakkara, 2019) Musicians, singers, actors, jock etc. are available in the class of performers. underneath Section 2(qq) of the Act performer includes associate degree jock, musician, singer, actor, juggler, performing artist, an individual delivering a lecture, or the other one that makes a performance. Section thirty eight of the Act confers right performers' like actors, dancers, jugglers, acrobats etc. and this takes U.S. to activity Rights Society that provides treater functions, notably assortment of royalties, between copyright holders and parties United Nations agency would like to use proprietary works in public in locations like searching, feeding venues, etc.

(Dutt and Muni, 2010)A legal purchase of work, like shopping for CDs from a music store, confers personal performance rights. PRS typically collects royalties once the employment of a piece is related to the associate degree organisation's purpose. The royalties for works essential to associate degree organisation's purpose, like theatres and radio, square measure typically negotiated directly with the rights holder.

(Gupta, 2018)In some countries, PRSs square measure known as copyright collectives or copyright collection agencies. A copyright collective is more general than a PRS because it isn't restricted to performances and includes replica Rights Organisations . RROs represent works distributed via mediums like CD, cassette, or data file instead of the employment of works in public settings.

7. FINDING AND RESULT

The results are obtained from the advocates regarding the awareness of the Marrakesh Treaty, in that 94% opted yes and 6% opted no. 94% of the respondents participated in this survey are intellectual property experts and the other were interns who opted no. The chi square value obtained is less than 0.005 hence the alternate hypothesis is proved. The survey shows that most of the students interning on the IP area are not totally aware about the performers' rights under the copyrights.

The Intellectual Property is always a go-to law when it comes to the advocate and legal professionals. But the matter is understanding the performers' rights which is the main part of the copyright law.

Here, 94% of respondents conveyed that they are totally aware of the performers' rights available under the copyright law and enhanced it is. Since the rest 6% are interning students they are not very much clear about such an availability.

8.SUGGESTION

1. The Copyright Change Act 1994 currently seeks to create a dramatic modification within the existing copyright law. One amongst the objects of the change is to increase protection to any or all performers by means of a special right, to be called the performer's right, in respect of the making of sound recordings or visual recordings of their live performances, and of such connected acts.
2. The giving of copyright protection on the performer's right are in conformity with the requirements of the Rome Convention for the Protection of Performers. Producers of Phonograms and Broadcasting Organisation, 1961. Performer includes Associate in Nursing actor, singer, musician, dancer, acrobat, juggler, conjurer, performer, a person delivering a lecture or the other one who makes a performance.
3. The Act conjointly defines performance in reference to performer's right, which suggests any visual or acoustic presentation created live by one or a lot of performers. On the opposite hand it absolutely was argued for the producer that work meant a piece tangible in nature Associate in Nursing didn't embody the performance

of an artists which the agreement couldn't vest within the actor one thing that within the initial place didn't and will not exist below the Act.

4. Consequently , the actor couldn't restrain the producers from cathartic the film in territories apart from the seven named territories on the idea of his copyright either within the film or his work in this. The division bench that detected the charm most popular by the producers from the order of one choose granting the injunctions searched for by the actor upheld the contentions of the producers.
5. Examining the provisions of the Act, the bench proceeded to contemplate whether or not the performance of Associate in Nursing actor in an exceedingly film is roofed by the definition of artistic work or dramatic work or cinematograph film and so protected as a work below the Act. The performance of a cinema actor, being neither a painting, nor a sculpture, nor a drawing, nor Associate in Nursing engraving, nor a photograph, is clearly not Associate in Nursing artistic work as comprehensively outlined within the Act. Examining the definition of dramatic work, the court rejected the competition that the performance of Associate in Nursing actor that is fastened within the film could be a written material under that definition.
6. The court counters the argument that there can be one owner of the copyright in an exceedingly cinematograph film as an entire and totally different house owners of the copyright in portions therefore consisting of the performers World Health Organisation have conjointly competing roles within the motion footage. In sum, the Copyright Act 1957 doesn't recognise the performance of an Associate in Nursing actor as a "work" protected by the Act.

9.CONCLUSION

The visual or acoustic performances of actors, musicians, singers or dancers forms a key a part of the artistic method and also the performers UN agency show their abilities through their inventive performances should be entitled sure as shooting rights over such performances still as a share within the income from its business exploitation. However, the rights of the performers weren't recognized internationally until the adoption of the Rome Convention of 1961. The WIPO review refers to a large vary of contracts, from the terribly basic, that in the main specify payment and hours to be worked, to those with terribly careful,

jointly negotiated terms, in countries with extremely developed film and tv industries. These terms will embrace secondary payments once the work is reused, for instance, once a movie is shown on tv or sold-out as a DVD or once tv programs or commercials square measure perennial. They conjointly kicked off standards for operating conditions, obligations for performers and a variety of alternative components. Contracts square measure closely connected to talks power. In developing countries with comparatively very little audiovisual production, performers and producers square measure less seemingly to be jointly organised. Performers can, nearly inevitably, have a weaker voice in any negotiations, though they're valuable "stars". It's widely accepted that collective organisation offers a positive method forward for each written agreement party. For years, the International Federation of Actors (FIA) has helped to determine guilds and unions of performers in rising and developing countries, to coach and inform collective counterparts for producers to modify and, during this method, to develop social dialogue. Similarly, organisations of audiovisual producers square measure organised through their international entity, the International Federation of Film Producers Associations (FIAPF), so as to push and build national film industries and sensible follow .A Performer shall mean associate degreed embrace an actor, singer, musician, dancer, acrobat, juggler, conjurer, performer, an individual delivering a lecture or the other one who makes a performance. Initially, the 1994 Act had fastened these rights for an amount of twenty five years from the start of the twelve month following the year during which performance was created. However, as per Article fourteen of journeys Agreement, the term of protection is for fifty years computed from the tip of the twelvemonth during which the performance occurred. Thus, an ensuant modification came in Section thirty eight of the Copyright Act, 1957 by manner of the Copyright (Amendment) Act, 1999 to increase the amount to fifty years that was antecedently twenty five years. The legal landscape in Asian nations has well-tried inadequate in protecting the innumerable rights performers have in their performances. In the ensuant post throughout this blog-a-thon we might be discussing the judicial approach towards the performer's right in relevancy of the cinematographic works and industry.

REFERENCE

1. Ahnhyojil and AHNHYOJIL (2018) 'Facilitating the Exploitation of Cinematographic

- Works and the Remuneration Right of Performers’, pp. 417–446. doi: 10.17252/dlr.2018.42.3.014.
2. Bano, S. (2011) ‘Women Performers and Prostitutes in Medieval India’, *Studies in History*, pp. 41–53. doi: 10.1177/025764301102700103.
 3. Bently, L. and Sherman, B. (2014) ‘13. Related rights: Performers’ rights, the database right, technological protection measures, rights management information, the public lending right, and the droit de suite’, *Intellectual Property Law*, pp. 340–372. doi: 10.1093/he/9780199645558.003.0013.
 4. Bhullar, L. (2019) ‘The Environmental Dimension of the Right to Sanitation’, *The Right to Sanitation in India*, pp. 261–284. doi: 10.1093/oso/9780199489855.003.0010.
 5. Brown, A. et al. (2019) ‘7. Rights akin to copyright: database right and performers’ rights’, *Contemporary Intellectual Property*, pp. 238–264. doi: 10.1093/he/9780198799801.003.0007.
 6. Charsley, S. R. and Kadekar, L. N. (2006) *Performers and Their Arts: Folk, Popular and Classical Genres in a Changing India*. Routledge India.
 7. Cullet, P. (2019) ‘The Right to Sanitation’, *The Right to Sanitation in India*, pp. 75–110. doi: 10.1093/oso/9780199489855.003.0004.
 8. Cullet, P., Koonan, S. and Bhullar, L. (2019) ‘Introduction’, *The Right to Sanitation in India*, pp. 1–10. doi: 10.1093/oso/9780199489855.003.0001.
 9. Dutt, B. and Munsi, U. S. (2010) *Engendering Performance: Indian Women Performers in Search of an Identity*. SAGE Publishing India.
 10. Gupta, T. S. (2018) *Intellectual Property Law in India*. Kluwer Law International B.V.
 11. Jain, S. (no date) ‘Performers’ Rights in Intellectual Property Regime: Emerging Trends in India, UK & USA’, *SSRN Electronic Journal*. doi: 10.2139/ssrn.2371911.
 12. John, M. (2019) ‘Right to Sanitation in Urban Areas’, *The Right to Sanitation in India*, pp. 195–227. doi: 10.1093/oso/9780199489855.003.0008.
 13. Kumar, S. (no date) ‘Performers’ Right: An Issue to Be Addressed’, *SSRN Electronic Journal*. doi: 10.2139/ssrn.1502921.
 14. Morcom, A. (2013) ‘Female Hereditary Performers in Post-Independence India’, *Illicit Worlds of Indian Dance*, pp. 60–86. doi: 10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199343539.003.0003.

15. Nanayakkara, G. (2019) Performers' Rights in Sri Lanka: Singers' Melancholia. Springer.
16. Panda, A. and Patel, A. (2012) 'Role of Collective Management Organizations for Protection of Performers' Right in the Music Industry:
17. Phalkey, J. (1999) 'Right-wing Mobilization of Women in India: Hindutva's Willing Performers', Women, Globalization and Fragmentation in the Developing World, pp. 38–53. doi: 10.1057/9780230371279_3.
18. Sakthivel, P., Nirmalkumar, M. and Benjamin, A. (2019) 'Rights of Sanitation Workers in India', The Right to Sanitation in India, pp. 346–379. doi: 10.1093/oso/9780199489855.003.0013.
19. Waelde, C. (2013) '6. Rights akin to copyright: database right and performers' rights', Contemporary Intellectual Property: Law and Policy, pp. 209–232. doi: 10.1093/he/9780199671823.003.0006.
20. Dankook Law Review, In the Era of Digitalization', The Journal of World Intellectual Property, pp. 155–170. doi: 10.1111/j.1747-1796.2012.00436.x.